UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,640	02/05/2004	Yihsiu Chen	61922-00011USPT	7637
²⁶⁶⁵² AT&T CORP.	7590 02/24/200	9	EXAMINER	
ROOM 2A207	A 37		TRAN, PHUC H	
ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,640	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHUC H. TRAN	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the complexation Papers.	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain the cor	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/773,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7006436) in view of Takeda et al. (Pub. No. 20030110292).

-With respect to claim 1, Chu teaches a method for call control, the method comprising a plurality of steps comprising: receiving a call at a communications device (e.g. Fig. 6b shows the RQNT from 105), the call being routed over at least one IP network (e.g. the call is routed over IP network as show in Fig. 2) controlling the call using an IP device (e.g. the VOIP gateway) connected to the IP network via a control gateway (e.g. the VOIC PROXY SERVER in Fig. 1); to route the call over multiple parallel network call paths to ring a separate phone at each of a plurality of destinations, the plurality of destinations comprising a cell phone destination and a PBX phone destination (e.g. the Fig. 6b shows the call route to multiple voice client). Chu fails to teach translation of SIP address into one more physical address on the IP network. Takeda teaches the translation (see abstract lines 2-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the address translation of Takeda into Chu for translating between networks in the communication. Chu implicitly teaches the IP device providing a first service application,

which routes the call to both a voice mail and personal computer client, and connection the call when a user indicative of a decision to pick up the call. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to understand that when a receiving a call from a network, the call can be record in voice mail system or pick up by a user to answer the phone call. Therefore, Chu's system would overcome the limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 5-9 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuc Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,640 Page 4

Art Unit: 2416

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571) 272-31799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PHUC H TRAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416